IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DONALD PHILLIP YEAGER, JR. : CIVIL ACTION

:

v.

•

PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT

OF CORRECTIONS : NO. 16-104

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

JACOB P. HART DATE: 3/29/2016

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

This is a *pro se* petition for writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 by an individual who, at the time of filing, was incarcerated at the State Correctional Institute at Mercer, Pennsylvania. The Commonwealth has filed a motion seeking the dismissal of this petition as moot, because Yeager was subsequently released on parole. Yeager has not responded to this motion. As set forth below, I recommend that the Commonwealth's unopposed motion be granted, and the petition dismissed as moot.

Yeager filed a petition for habeas corpus relief on or about January 8, 2015. In it, he maintained that he was wrongfully denied parole because he refused to admit guilt in the crime for which he was incarcerated. Petition at §12. The Commonwealth has demonstrated that Yeager was released on parole on February 9, 2015. Order to Release on Parole/Reparole, attached to Commonwealth's Motion as Exhibit B.

Even if the Court were to rule in Yeager's favor on his petition, there is no relief it could grant, since the relief sought was the parole which he has already obtained. Spencer v. Kemna, 523 U.S. 1 (1998); Mathews v. Hendricks, Civ. A. No. 04-4033, 2005 WL 1115967 at *2 (D.N.J. May 6, 2005). Accordingly, there is no "case in controversy" under Article III of the United States Constitution granting federal jurisdiction over Yeager's claim.

Case 2:16-cv-00104-PD Document 7 Filed 03/29/16 Page 2 of 2

Spencer, supra, at 7. As in Spencer, Yeager's petition is appropriately dismissed as moot. I note

again that Yeager has not opposed the Government's motion.

The petitioner may file objections to this Report and Recommendation. See Local Civ.

Rule 72.1. Failure to file timely objections may constitute a waiver of any appellate rights.

Based on the foregoing, I make the following:

RECOMMENDATION

AND NOW, this 29th day of March, 2016, IT IS RESPECTFULLY RECOMMENDED

that the petition for writ of habeas corpus be DISMISSED AS MOOT There is no basis for the

issuance of a certificate of appealabilty.

BY THE COURT

/s/Jacob P. Hart

JACOB P. HART

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2